

HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN LLP
RODERICK G. DORMAN (SBN 96908)
LAWRENCE M. HADLEY (SBN 157728)
OMER SALIK (SBN 223056)

865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2900
Los Angeles, California 90017

(213) 694-1200 - Telephone

(213) 694-1234 - Facsimile

dormanr@hbdlawyers.com; hadleyl@hbdlawyers.com;

saliko@hbdlawyers.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,

COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

E-FILED - 7/12/06

COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and VIA
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (USA),

Defendants and Counterclaimants.

)
) Case No. 05-CV-01668 RMW (HRL)
) Case No. 05-CV-01766 RMW (HRL)
) (Cases consolidated through claim
) construction)

) This document pertains to:
) Case No. 05-CV-01668 RMW (HRL)

) **JOINT STIPULATION AND []**
) **ORDER RE: BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION,

Defendant and Counterclaimant.

Following Case Management Conference held on March 24, 2006, the Court adopted a briefing schedule for summary judgment motions that implicate claim construction issues. Plaintiff Computer Cache Coherency Corporation ("4C") and Defendants VIA Technologies, Inc. and VIA Technologies, Inc. (USA) (collectively "VIA") have encountered unexpected difficulties in scheduling certain depositions believed to be relevant to the pending motions for summary judgment. 4C and VIA therefore request that the dates for their respective opposition briefs and reply briefs be extended by two weeks, as shown in the table below.

Event	Former Date	New Date
Deadline for filing opposition to summary judgment motions filed on May 19, 2006	June 30, 2006	July 14, 2006
Deadline for filing reply briefs in support of motions for summary judgment filed on May 19, 2006	July 14, 2006	July 28, 2006

This stipulation does not alter any of the remaining dates set forth in the May 4, 2006 Stipulation and Order; specifically, it will not impact the scheduled date for the technology tutorial (August 15, 2006) and the hearing on the summary judgment motions and claim construction (September 19, 2006), since these dates are far enough in advance in the future. Further, the briefing schedule in the related litigation of *Computer Cache Coherency Corporation v. Intel Corporation*, Case No. 05-CV-1668 remains unchanged from that set forth in the May 4, 2006 Stipulation and Order.

SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 23, 2006

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

By: /s/ Jennifer Ochs

Robert P. Feldman
Jennifer A. Ochs
Maura L. Rees
Matthew R. Reed

Attorneys for Defendants and
Counterclaimants
VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and
VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (USA)

Dated: June 23, 2006

HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN LLP

By: /s/ Omer Salik
Omer Salik

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and
Counterdefendants
COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY
CORPORATION

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED

Dated: 7/12/06

By: /S/ RONALD M. WHYTE
Hon. Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Court Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45.X

I, Omer Salik, hereby attest that concurrences in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the signatories.

Dated: June 23, 2006

By: /s/ Omer Salik
Omer Salik